UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,956	06/09/2004	Bill Yang	13565-US-PA	3955	
	7590 07/24/200 N INTELLECTUAL P	EXAMINER			
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100			LE, HUYEN D		
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			2615		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW Belinda@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/709,9	956	YANG, BILL		
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit		
		HUYEN I	D. LE	2615		
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communi	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED S' WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS f - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within th Any reply received by th	TATUTORY PERIOD FOODS AND THE MADE AVAILABLE THE PROPERTY OF THE MADE AVAILABLE THE AV	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought plication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is 3)□ Since this ap	to communication(s) files FINAL. 2 plication is in condition to the practice or section is the practice or section in the pract	b) This action is for allowance excep	t for formal matters, p		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims	;					
4a) Of the ab 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>55-</u> 7)□ Claim(s) 8)□ Claim(s)	16-18 and 55-70 is/are sove claim(s) is/are allowed and 16-18 is/are allowed allowed and is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.			
Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(Applicant may Replacement	tion is objected to by the s) filed on is/are: not request that any object drawing sheet(s) including eclaration is objected to	a) accepted or b tion to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. S red if the drawing(s) is o	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	n's Patent Drawing Review (P e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

10/709,956 Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- *I*. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 55-59 and 63-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huang (US 2004/0218775).

Regarding claims 55 and 63, Huang teaches an earphone structure disposed inside a space defined by a case (30) and a cover (40). The earphone structure comprises a composite chamber (the chamber inside the main body 10, figures 2, 5, 6) defining a composite room therein. As shown in figures 2, 5 and 6, the case (30) and the cover (40) jointly cover the composite chamber for forming the earphone structure, and the composite chamber receives a plurality of sound source signals or sound source entities (21, 22, 23, 24) from different directions such that the composite sound field is formed by the sound source signals or the sound source entities.

Regarding claims 56-59 and 64-67, Huang shows the sound source signals or sound source entities (21, 22, 23, 24) as claimed (figures 3, 5, 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:

10/709,956

Art Unit: 2615

Page 3

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 60-62 and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (US 2004/0218775).

Regarding claims 60 and 68, Huang does not specifically teach a sound field simulation process as claimed. However, Huang does not restrict to any sound source for the device; it therefore would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide any sound source signals or sound source entities such as a sound field simulation process for the Huang device for providing better sound source signals to the earphone.

Regarding claims 61-62, 69 and 70, Huang does not specifically teach a frequency-divided point of the sound source signals or sound source entities as claimed. However, it is known in the art to provide to provide a frequency-divided point and delay process for the low and high frequency speakers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the frequency-divided point of the sound source signals or the sound source entities and a delay process for the speakers (21, 22, 23, 24) of Huang for providing better source signals to the earphone.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-6 and 16-18 have been allowed.

Art Unit: 2615

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4/30/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Responding to the arguments about claims 55 and 63, the examiner refers to the Office Action. Huang shows an earphone structure disposed inside a space defined by a case (30) and a cover (40), a composite chamber (the chamber inside the main body 10, see figures 2, 5, 6) defining a composite room therein as claimed. Further, Huang teaches a plurality of sound sources (21, 22, 23, 24) inside the earphone and forming a sound filled therein as claimed (figures 2, 3, 5, 6)

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2615

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUYEN D. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-7502. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, SUHAN NI can be reached on (571) 272-7505. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HUYEN D. LE/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2615

HL

July 19, 2008